CSCI 567: Machine Learning

Vatsal Sharan Fall 2022

Lecture 9, Nov 3



Administrivia

- Project details are out
 - Make groups (of 4) by Nov 11, minimum team size is 3.
 - Q5 on HW4 will help you get started on it.
 - We'll give an overview of the project and general tips in today's discussion.
- HW4 is due in about two weeks (Nov 16 at 2pm).
 - We'll release another question on PCA tomorrow.
- Today's plan:
 - Finish ensemble methods
 - Unsupervised learning:
 - PCA
 - Clustering





Ensemble methods

- Bagging
- Random forests
- Boosting: Basics
- Adaboost
- Gradient boosting

Bagging

Collect T subsets each of some fixed size (say m) by sampling with replacement from training data.

Let $f_t(x)$ be the classifier (such as a decision tree) obtained by training on the subset $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$. Then the aggregrated classifier $f_{agg}(x)$ is given by:

$$f_{agg}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(\boldsymbol{x}) & \text{for regression,} \\ \text{sign}\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(\boldsymbol{x})\right) = \text{Majority Vote}\{f_t(\boldsymbol{x})\}_{t=1}^{T} & \text{for classification.} \end{cases}$$

- Reduces overfitting (i.e., variance)
- Can work with any type of classifier (here focus on trees)
- Easy to parallelize (can train multiple trees in parallel)
- But loses on interpretability to single decision tree (true for all ensemble methods..)

Ensemble methods

- Bagging
- Random forests
- Boosting: Basics
- Adaboost
- Gradient boosting

Random forests

Random forests: When growing a tree on a bootstrapped (i.e. subsampled) dataset, before each split select $k \leq d$ of the d input variables at random as candidates for splitting.

When $k = d \rightarrow$ same as Bagging When $k < d \rightarrow$ Random forests

k is a hyperparameter, tuned via cross-validation

Ensemble methods

- Bagging
- Random forests
- Boosting: Basics
- Adaboost
- Gradient boosting

Boosting: Idea

The boosted predictor is of the form $f_{boost}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{sign}(h(\boldsymbol{x}))$, where,

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \beta_t f_t(\boldsymbol{x}) \text{ for } \beta_t \ge 0 \text{ and } f_t \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The goal is to minimize $\ell(h(\boldsymbol{x}), y)$ for some loss function ℓ .

Q: We know how to find the best predictor in \mathcal{F} on some data, but how do we find the best weighted combination $h(\boldsymbol{x})$?

A: Minimize the loss by a *greedy approach*, i.e. find β_t , $f_t(\boldsymbol{x})$ one by one for $t = 1, \ldots, T$.

Specifically, let $h_t(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\tau=1}^t \beta_\tau f_\tau(\boldsymbol{x})$. Suppose we have found $h_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{x})$, how do we find $\beta_t, f_t(\boldsymbol{x})$?

Find the β_t , $f_t(\boldsymbol{x})$ which minimizes the loss $\ell(h_t(\boldsymbol{x}), y)$.

Different loss function ℓ give different boosting algorithms.

$$\ell(h(\boldsymbol{x}), y) = \begin{cases} (h(\boldsymbol{x}) - y)^2 & \to \text{ Least squares boosting,} \\ \exp(-h(\boldsymbol{x})y) & \to \text{ AdaBoost.} \end{cases}$$

Ensemble methods

- Bagging
- Random forests
- Boosting: Basics
- Adaboost
- Gradient boosting

AdaBoost: Full algorithm

Given a training set S and a base algorithm \mathcal{A} , initialize D_1 to be uniform

For $t = 1, \ldots, T$

- obtain a weak classifier $f_t(\boldsymbol{x}) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(S, D_t)$
- calculate the weight β_t of $f_t(\boldsymbol{x})$ as

$$\beta_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t} \right) \qquad (\beta_t > 0 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_t < 0.5)$$

where $\epsilon_t = \sum_{i:f_t(\boldsymbol{x}_i)\neq y_i} D_t(i)$ is the weighted error of $f_t(\boldsymbol{x})$.

• update distributions

$$D_{t+1}(i) \propto D_t(i)e^{-\beta_t y_i f_t(\boldsymbol{x}_i)} = \begin{cases} D_t(i)e^{-\beta_t} & \text{if } f_t(\boldsymbol{x}_i) = y_i \\ D_t(i)e^{\beta_t} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Output the final classifier $f_{boost} = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \beta_t f_t(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$

Adaboost: Example

Put more weight on difficult to classify instances and less on those already handled well New weak learners are added sequentially that focus their training on the more difficult patterns

10 data points in \mathbb{R}^2

The size of + or - indicates the weight, which starts from uniform D_1

Base algorithm is decision stump:











Ensemble methods

- Bagging
- Random forests
- Boosting: Basics
- Adaboost
- Gradient boosting

Gradient Boosting

Recall $h_t(x) = \sum_{\tau=1}^t \beta_{\tau} f_{\tau}(x)$. For Adaboost (exponential loss), given $h_{t-1}(x)$, we found what $f_t(x)$ should be.

Gradient boosting provides an iterative approach for general (any) loss function $\ell(h(\boldsymbol{x}), y)$:



Gradient Boosting

Usually we add some regularization term to prevent overfitting (penalize the size of the tree etc.)

Gradient boosting is extremely successful!!

A variant **XGBoost** is one of the most popular algorithms for **structured data** (tables etc. with numbers and categories where each feature typically has some meaning, unlike images or text).

(for e.g. during Kaggle competitions back in 2015, 17 out of 29 winning solutions used XGBoost)



A simplistic taxonomy of ML



Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Introduction
- Formalizing the problem
- How to use PCA, and examples
- Solving the PCA optimization problem
- Conclusion

Acknowledgement & further reading

Our presentation is closely based on Gregory Valiant's notes for CS168 at Stanford.

https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs168/l/l7.pdf https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs168/l/l8.pdf

You can refer to these notes for further reading.

Also review our Linear algebra Colab notebooks:

Part 1 Part 2

Dimensionality reduction & PCA

We'll start with a simple and fundamental unsupervised learning problem: dimensionality reduction.

Goal: reduce the dimensionality of a dataset so that

- it is easier to visualize and discover patterns
- it takes less time and space to process for any downstream application (classification, regression, etc)
- noise is reduced

• • • •

There are many approaches, we focus on a linear method: Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

Consider the following dataset:

- 17 features, each represents the average consumption of some food
- 4 data points, each represents some country.

What can you tell?

Hard to say anything looking at all these 17 features.

	England	N Ireland	Scotland	Wales
Alcoholic drinks	375	135	458	475
Beverages	57	47	53	73
Carcase meat	245	267	242	227
Cereals	1472	1494	1462	1582
Cheese	105	66	103	103
Confectionery	54	41	62	64
Fats and oils	193	209	184	235
Fish	147	93	122	160
Fresh fruit	<mark>1</mark> 102	674	957	<mark>1</mark> 137
Fresh potatoes	720	1033	566	874
Fresh Veg	253	143	171	265
Other meat	685	586	750	803
Other Veg	488	355	418	570
Processed potatoes	198	187	220	203
Processed Veg	360	334	337	365
Soft drinks	<mark>137</mark> 4	1506	1572	<mark>12</mark> 56
Sugars	156	139	147	175

Picture from <u>here</u> See <u>this</u> for more details

PCA can help us! The projection of the data onto its first principal component:



i.e. we reduce the dimensionality from 17 to just 1.

Now one data point is clearly different from the rest!

PCA can help us! The projection of the data onto its first principal component (PC1):



i.e. we reduce the dimensionality from 17 to just 1.

Now one data point is clearly different from the rest!

That turns out to be data from Northern Ireland, the only country not on the island of Great Britain out of the 4 samples.

Can also interpret components: PC1 tells us that the Northern Irish eat more grams of fresh potatoes and fewer of fresh fruits and alcoholic drinks.

We can find the second (and more) principal components of the data too:



And the components themselves are interpretable too:



See <u>this</u> for more details

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Introduction
- Formalizing the problem
- How to use PCA, and examples
- Solving the PCA optimization problem
- Conclusion

High-level goal prev. e.g. n = 4 d = 17

Suppose we have a dataset of n datapoints $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The high level goal of PCA is to find a set of k principal components (PCs) /principal vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for each x_i ,



Preprocessing the data

• Before we apply PCA, we usually preprocess the data to center it

det
$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pm i$$

Hen set $\bar{x}_{i} = \pm i - \pm$
Assume data is centered ($E \pm i = 0$)

• In many applications, it is also important to scale each coordinate properly. This is especially true if the coordinates are in different units or scales.



Figure 3: Scaling the *x*-axis yields a different best-fit line.

Figure from CS168 notes

Objective function for PCA

Key difference from supervised learning problems:

No labels given, which means no ground-truth to measure the quality of the answer!

However, we can still write an optimization problem based on our high-level goal.

```
For clarity, we first discuss the special case of k = 1.
```

Optimization problem for finding the 1st principal component v_1 :





Figure 4: The geometry of the inner product with a unit length vector, **w**.

$$(dist(ti \epsilon) live spanned by o))^2 + \langle ti, v \rangle^2 = till_2^2$$

 $||till_2^2$ is a constant, independent of choice of v.

Figure from CS168 notes



Figure 5: For the good line, the projection of the points onto the line keeps the two clusters separated, while the projection onto the bad line merges the two clusters.

Objective function for larger values of *k*

The generalization of the original formulation for general k is to find a k-dimensional subspace S such that the points are as close to it as possible:

$$S = \underset{k-\text{dim subspaces } S}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{distance between } \boldsymbol{x}_i \text{ and subspace } S)^2$$

By the same reasoning as for k = 1, this is equivalent to,

$$S = \underset{k-\text{dim subspaces } S}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{length of } \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \text{'s projection on } S)^{2} \quad - \quad \bigcirc$$

It is useful to think of the subspace S as the *span* of k orthonormal vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Example,

- k = 1, span is line through the origin.
- k = 2, if v_1, v_2 are linearly independent, the span is a plane through the origin, and so on.

Fact about outhonormal vectors,
(length of xi's projection on span(
$$v_{1,...,v_R}$$
))² = $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle x_i, x_j, y_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle x_i, x_j, y_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle x_i, x_j, y_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle x_j, y_j, y_j \rangle = \sum$

Formal problem solved by PCA:

Given $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a parameter $k \ge 1$, compute orthonormal vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to maximize,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \langle oldsymbol{x}_i,oldsymbol{v}_j
angle^2.$$

Equivalent view:

- Pick v_1 to be the variance maximizing direction.
- Pick v_2 to be the variance maximizing direction, orthogonal to v_1 .
- Pick v_3 to be the variance maximizing direction, orthogonal to v_1 and v_2 , and so on.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Introduction
- Formalizing the problem
- How to use PCA, and examples
- Solving the PCA optimization problem
- Conclusion

Using PCA for data compression and visualization

Input: n datapoints $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, #components k we want

Step 1 Perform PCA to get top k principal components $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Step 2 For each datapoint x_i , define its " v_1 -coordinate" as $\langle x_i, v_1 \rangle$, its " v_2 -coordinate" as $\langle x_i, v_2 \rangle$. Therefore we associate k coordinates to each datapoint x_i , where the j-th coordinate denotes the extent to which x_i points in the direction of v_j .

Step 3 We now have a new "compressed" dataset where each datapoint is k-dimensional. For visualization, we can plot the point x_i in \mathbb{R}^k as the point $(\langle x_i, v_1 \rangle, \langle x_i, v_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle x_i, v_k \rangle)$.

Loing back to high-level good,
xi in
$$\stackrel{k}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{k}{\atop}}}} < +i, x_j > V_j$$
.

Visualization example: Do Genomes Encode Geography?

Dataset: genomes of 1,387 Europeans (each individual's genotype at 200,000 locations in the genome) $n = 1387, d \approx 200,000$

Project the datapoints onto top 2 PCs

Plot shown below; looks remarkably like the map of Europe!





From paper: ``Genes mirror geography within Europe" Novembre et al., Nature'08

Compression example: Eigenfaces

Dataset: 256x256 (\approx 65K pixels) dimensional images of about 2500 faces, all framed similarly $n = 2500, d \approx 65,000$

We can represent each image with high accuracy using only 100-150 principal components!

The principal components (called *eigenfaces* here) are themselves interpretable too!



Figure 2. Seven of the eigenfaces calculated from the input images of Figure 1.

From paper: ``Eigenfaces for recognition" Turk & Pentland, Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience'91

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Introduction
- Formalizing the problem
- How to use PCA, and examples
- Solving the PCA optimization problem
- Conclusion

How to solve the PCA optimization problem?

Consider k=1, $V_{i} = cugman$ $V_{i} = lull_{2} = 1$ $\frac{3}{2} < \frac{3}{2} < \frac{3}{2} < \frac{3}{2}$ $X = \begin{bmatrix} +i' \\ +i' \\ +i' \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ -i' \\ -i$ $for any x \in IR^{d}, \quad t \in I^{d}, \quad t \in I^{d}$ $f_{z}^{T} x_{z} = f_{z}^{T} x_{z}$ $f_{z}^{T} x_{z} = f_{z}^{T} x_{z}$ $f_{z}^{T} x_{z} = f_{z}^{T} x_{z}$ $f_{z}^{T} x_{z} = f_{z}^{T} x_{z}$

$$\frac{2}{12} \frac{2}{12} (x_1, x_2)^2 = || + x_1 ||_2^2$$

$$= (+x_1)^T (+x_1)$$

$$= x_1^T + T_1^T + x_2$$

$$\frac{d+n}{12} + n+1 = x_1^T + x_1^T + x_2$$

$$\frac{d+n}{12} + x_1^T + x_1^T + x_2^T + x_1^T + x_2^T + x_1^T + x_2^T + x_1^T + x_1^T + x_2^T + x_1^T +$$

The diagonal case

Let's solve $\operatorname{argmax}_{v:\|v\|_2=1} v^T A v$ for the special case where A is a diagonal matrix.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$
 where $A_1 \ge A_2 \ge \dots \ge -A_d \ge 0$

Any $d \times d$ matrix A can be thought of as a function that maps points in \mathbb{R}^d back to points in \mathbb{R}^d : $v \mapsto Av$.



Points on circle $\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ are mapped to the ellipse $\{(x, y) : \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 + y^2 = 1\}$.

Figure from CS168 notes

So what direction v should maximize $v^T A v$ for diagonal A?

It should be the direction of maximum stretch:

N= e, (where
$$e_i \in (1, 0, ..., 0)$$
 is 1st standard laring
(since $d_i \ge d_2 \dots \ge 3 d_i$)
Noof:
NTAV = $x^T(Av) = (N_1, \dots, Vd) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_i v_i \\ A_2 v_2 \\ \vdots \\ A dvd \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\xi}_{i=1} v_i^2 d_i$
Since v is a unit vertex, $\underbrace{\xi}_{i=1}^2 v_i^2 = 1$
 \ldots Since d_i is largest, to vat. Set $v_i = 1$
 $v_i \ge 0$ $\forall i \ge 0$.

Diagonals in disguise



The previous figure, rotated 45 degrees.

How do we formalize the concept of a rotation in high dimensions as a matrix operation?

Answer: Orthogonal matrix (also called orthonormal matrix).

An orthonoural radiative is a radiative of set. for dell columns

$$0_{1,...,0d,$$

$$||0_{1}||_{2}^{2} = 1 \quad \forall i$$

$$0_{1}^{*} \circ_{j}^{*} = 0 \quad \forall i \neq s$$

$$(0_{1}^{*} \circ_{1}^{*} - 0_{1}^{*} - 0_{1}^{*}) = (0_{1}^{*} \circ_{1}^{*}) = ($$

Recall that we want to find $\boldsymbol{v}_1 = \operatorname{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{v}:\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2=1} \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{v}$.

Now consider A that can be written as $A = QDQ^{T}$ for an orthogonal matrix Q and diagonal matrix D with diagonal entries $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3 \ge \ldots \lambda_d \ge 0$.



What is the direction which gets stretched the maximum?

(Informal answer) The maximum possible stretch by D is λ_1 . The direction of maximum stretch under D is e_1 . Therefore, direction of maximum stretch under DQ^T is v s.t. $Q^T v = e_1 \implies v = (Q^T)^{-1}e_1 = Qe_1$.

Claim: for
$$A = 0 D Q^{2}$$

 $cogman = e^{T} A v = 0 e_{T}$
 $v: ||v||_{z=1}$
Phood: for $Y_{i} = 0 e_{1}$
 $v_{i}^{T} A v_{i} = (0 e_{i})^{T} A (0 e_{i}) = e_{i}^{T} O D Q^{T} O e_{1}$
 $= e_{i}^{T} O e_{1}$
 $= A_{i}$.
Now for $y^{T} A v = (1 + 1) P_{i}^{T} Q_{i}^{T} Q_{i$

General covariance matrices

When k = 1, the solution to $\operatorname{argmax}_{v:||v||_2=1} v^T A v$ is the first column of Q, where $A = X^T X = QDQ^T$ with Q orthogonal and D diagonal with sorted entries.

General values of k

What is the solution to the PCA objective for general values of k?

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \langle oldsymbol{x}_i,oldsymbol{v}_j
angle^2$$

Solution: Pick the first k columns of Q, where the covariance $X^{T}X = QDQ^{T}$ with Q orthogonal and D diagonal with sorted entries.

Since Q is orthogonal, the first k columns of Q are orthogonal vectors. These are called the top k principal components (PCs).

Eigenvalues & eigenvectors

How to compute the top k columns of Q in the decomposition $X^{T}X = QDQ^{T}$?

Solution: Eigenvalue decomposition!

Def: An eigenvector of matrix A is a veter
$$v$$
 that is
stretchool in the same disaction by A, i.e.
 $Av = Av$ for some $d \in IR$.
 d is the eigenvalue.

Eigenvectors: axes of stretch in geometric intuition Eigenvalues: stretch factors

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Introduction
- Formalizing the problem
- How to use PCA, and examples
- Solving the PCA optimization problem
- Conclusion

How many PCs to use?

For visualization, we usually choose k to be small and just pick the first few principal components.

In other applications such as compression, it is a good idea to plot the eigenvalues and see. A lot of data is close to being low rank, so the eigenvalues may decay and become small.

We can also choose the threshold based on how much variance we want to capture. Suppose we want to capture 90% of the variance in the data. Then we can pick k such that i.e.

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j} \ge 90\%$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_d$ are sorted eigenvalues.

Note:
$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j = \text{trace}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})$$
, so no need to actually find all eigenvalues.

When and why does PCA fail?

- 1. Data is not properly scaled/normalized.
- Non-orthogonal structure in data: PCs are forced to be orthogonal, and there may not be too many orthogonal components in the data which are all interpretable.
- 3. Non-linear structure in data.

