

Lecture 4

Recap:

- Defined Agnostic PAC
- Defined Uniform Convergence
- Uniform convergence for finite hypothesis classes.
- Concentration inequalities

Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be sequence of random variables

$E[x_i] = \mu$, $\text{Var}(x_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $\bar{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$.

How close is \bar{x}_n to μ ?

Today:

- Finish concentration inequality
- VC dimension

Chernoff-style bounds.

$$\log (\Pr [X - \mathbb{E}(X) \geq t]) \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\log (\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}(X))})) - \lambda t \right).$$

Gaussian tail bounds

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

Goal: Bound $\Pr [X - \mu \geq t]$

$$\Pr [X - \mu \geq t] \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}(e^{(\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}(X)))})}{e^{\lambda t}}$$

$$X - \mathbb{E}(X) = Y$$

$$Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(e^{(\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}(X)))}) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda Y}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda y} \frac{e^{-y^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\left(y - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} dy \end{aligned}$$

$$= e^{-\frac{d^2\sigma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(y-\frac{d}{\sigma^2})^2}{2\sigma^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} dy$$

$$= e^{-\frac{d^2\sigma^2}{2}}$$

$$\log(P_{\mathcal{A}}[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t]) \leq \inf_{d \geq 0} \left(\frac{d^2\sigma^2}{2} - dt \right)$$

$$= \frac{-t^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad [\text{Exercise}]$$

$$\underline{P_{\mathcal{A}}[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t]} \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\leq e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2} \cdot (\text{poly}(t, \sigma))^{-1}$$

(Tight upto polynomial factors, best bound one can show is above)

$$\text{If } \bar{x}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/n \quad \mathbb{E}[x_i] = \mu \quad \text{Var}[x_i] = \sigma^2$$

Gaussian is a stable distribution

$$x_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2), \quad x_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \sim N(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

$$\bar{x}_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$$

$$\therefore P_{\mathcal{A}}[\bar{x}_n - \mu \geq t] \leq e^{-nt^2/\sigma^2}$$

$$\text{if } n, \delta,$$

$$\underline{P_{\mathcal{A}}[\bar{x}_n - \mu \geq \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{n}}] \leq \delta}.$$

Sub-Gaussian random variable.

A random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$ is sub-Gaussian if there exists a positive number σ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}] \leq e^{\sigma^2 \lambda^2 / 2} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

σ : sub-Gaussian parameter (Variance proxy)

Any Gaussian random variable with σ^2 is sub-Gaussian with parameter σ .

Many non-Gaussian random variables also have this property!

Rademacher r.v.: $X: \{-1, +1\}$ with equal probability

Claim: Sub-Gaussian with parameter $\sigma = 1$.

Proof: $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{-\lambda} + e^{\lambda}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^k}{k!} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)^k}{k!} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)^{2k}}{(2k)!}\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{2k}}{2^k k!} \quad ((2k)! \geq 2^k k!)$$

$$= e^{d^2/2}$$

◻

Concentration bound for sum of sub-Gaussian r.v.

Theorem: Suppose that the random variables $\{x_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ are independent and $\mathbb{E}[x_i] = \mu_i$ and x_i is sub-Gaussian with parameter σ_i . Then for all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\Pr\left[\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_i)\right| \geq t\right] \leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}\right).$$

Proof.

We show that $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is sub-Gaussian

with parameter $\sigma_Z^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(e^{d(Z - \mathbb{E}(Z))}) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{d(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i))}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(e^{d(x_i - \mathbb{E}(x_i))}) \quad (\text{independence}) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\sigma_i^2 d^2/2} = e^{(\sum \sigma_i^2) d^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem now follows from the following Lemma. ■

Concentration bound for sub-Gaussian r.v.

Lemma: If X is sub-Gaussian with parameter σ , then for any $t > 0$,

$$(1) \Pr[X > \mathbb{E}(X) + t] \leq e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2}$$

$$(2) \Pr[X < \mathbb{E}(X) - t] \leq e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2}$$

$$(3) \Pr[|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2}$$

Proof of Lemma.

$$(1) \log(\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}(X) \geq t]) \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}(X))}] - \lambda t \right)$$

By sub-Gaussianity,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}(X))}] \leq e^{\sigma^2 \lambda^2/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}(X) \geq t]) &\leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\frac{\sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2} - \lambda t \right) \\ &= -\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

(1) follows.

(2) Take $x' = -x$.

x' is also sub-Gaussian with parameter σ
(by symmetry of the definition wrt λ)

$$\Pr[X < \mathbb{E}[x] - t] = \Pr[x' > \mathbb{E}[x'] + t].$$

Result follows by (1).

(3) Union bound

■

Corollary of Thm for sum of sub-Gaussian

Consider n i.i.d. r.v. t_1, \dots, t_n each with $\mathbb{E}[t_i] = \mu$ & sub-Gaussian parameter σ .

Then for all $t > 0$

$$\Pr\left[\left|\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i}{n} - \mu\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Bounded random variable is sub-Gaussian

X is zero-mean supported on interval $[a, b]$.

Claim. X is sub-Gaussian with parameter $b-a$.

Proof (Possible to show sub-Gaussian with parameter $\frac{b-a}{2}$.)

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{tX}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tX)^k}{k!}\right)$$

For any k ,

$$\mathbb{E}(X^k) \leq \mathbb{E}(|X|^k)$$

$$\leq (b-a)^k$$

$$= \sigma^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)^k}{k!}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{2k} \frac{\sigma^{2k}}{(2k)!} \quad (\text{Error!})$$

Not true

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$$

$$\text{but } \mathbb{E}[X^3]$$

could be > 0

$$\leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2k} \sigma^{2k}}{2^k k!}$$

$$= e^{t^2 \sigma^2 / 2}$$

■

Thank you for finding a bug here today!

Here's one fix which gets a slightly worse constant:

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{\mathbb{E}(x^k)}{k!}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(x^k) \leq \mathbb{E}(1+x)^k \leq e^{\sigma k}$$

$$\text{Also, } \mathbb{E}(1) = 1$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda x}) \leq 1 + \sum_{k \geq 2}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{e^{\sigma k}}{k!}$$

$$= e^{\lambda \sigma} - \lambda \sigma$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}$$

$$\left(\text{since } e^x - x \leq e^{x^2} + x \right)$$

Therefore, we've shown sub-Gaussian with parameter $\sqrt{2}\sigma = \sqrt{2}(b-a)$.

The above proof has the advantage of being more analytic, but the proof below is cleverer and gets a better constant.

Proof 2

Symmetrization: Let x' be an independent copy of x .

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x[e^{\lambda x}] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{\lambda p(\lambda(x - \mathbb{E}(x)))}\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{x, x'}(e^{\lambda p(\lambda(x - x'))})$$

(Jensen's inequality: $f(\mathbb{E}(x)) \leq \mathbb{E}(f(x))$ for any convex f)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x, x', \varepsilon}(e^{\lambda p(\lambda \varepsilon(x - x'))})$$

ε : Rademacher r.v. $\{\pm 1\}$ with prob. $1/2$

$x - x'$ has same dist as $x' - x$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda x}] \leq \mathbb{E}_{x, x'}(e^{\lambda p(\lambda^2(x - x')^2/2)})$$

implies

$$\leq e^{\lambda p(\lambda^2(b-a)^2/2)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x, x'}(e^{\lambda p(\lambda(x - x'))}) = \mathbb{E}_{x, x'}(e^{\lambda p(\lambda(x - x))})$$

Lemma (weaker version of Hoeffding's)

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be independent random variables

such that $a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i$ for each $i \in [n]$.

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Pr \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right] \right| \leq \epsilon \right]$$

$$\geq 1 - 2 \exp \left(- \frac{n^2 \epsilon^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2} \right)$$

Remark: With right sub-Gaussianity, we'll get tight bound.

Thm. (McDiarmid's Inequality)

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be independent r.v. taking values over some domain X . Let $f: X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the bounded differences property:

$\forall i \in [n] \quad \& \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n, x_i' \quad (x_i' \in X).$

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i.$$

If f satisfies this property, then

$$\Pr[f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \mathbb{E}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) > \varepsilon] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right).$$

Remark : Gives Hoeffding's ($f = \underline{\left(\sum x_i\right)}$)

$$c_i = \frac{b_i - a_i}{n} \quad \forall i$$