CSCI567: Machine Learning

USC, Fall 2022

Homework 3

Instructor: Vatsal Sharan

Due: October 26 by 2:00 pm PST

A reminder on collaboration policy and academic integrity: Our goal is to maintain an optimal learning environment. You can discuss the homework problems at a high level with other groups, but you should not look at any other group's solutions. Trying to find solutions online or from any other sources for any homework or project is prohibited, will result in zero grade and will be reported. To prevent any future plagiarism, uploading any material from the course (your solutions, quizzes etc.) on the internet is prohibited, and any violations will also be reported. Please be considerate, and help us help everyone get the best out of this course.

Please remember the Student Conduct Code (Section 11.00 of the USC Student Guidebook). General principles of academic honesty include the concept of respect for the intellectual property of others, the expectation that individual work will be submitted unless otherwise allowed by an instructor, and the obligations both to protect one's own academic work from misuse by others as well as to avoid using another's work as one's own. All students are expected to understand and abide by these principles. Students will be referred to the Office of Student Judicial Affairs and Community Standards for further review, should there be any suspicion of academic dishonesty.

Total points: 84 points + 15 points bonus

Notes on notation:

- Unless stated otherwise, scalars are denoted by small letter in normal font, vectors are denoted by small letters in bold font and matrices are denoted by capital letters in bold font.
- $\|.\|$ means L2-norm unless specified otherwise, *i.e.*, $\|.\| = \|.\|_2$.

Instructions

We recommend that you use LaTeX to write up your homework solution. However, you can also scan handwritten notes. The homework will need to be submitted on Gradescope.

Theory-based Questions

Problem 1: Multi-class Perceptron (16pts)

Recall that a linear model for a multiclass classification problem with C classes is parameterized by C weight vectors $w_1, \ldots, w_C \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In class, we derived the solution for multiclass logistic regression by minimizing the multiclass logistic loss. In this problem, you will derive the multiclass perceptron algorithm in a similar way. Specifically, the multiclass perceptron loss on a training set $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [C]$ is defined as

$$F(\boldsymbol{w}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{w}_C) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(\boldsymbol{w}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{w}_C), \quad \text{where } F_i(\boldsymbol{w}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{w}_C) = \max\left\{0, \max_{y \neq y_i} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_y^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{w}_{y_i}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i\right)\right\}.$$

1.1 (8pts) To optimize this loss function, we need to first derive its gradient. Specifically, for each $i \in [n]$ and $c \in [C]$, write down the partial derivative $\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial w_c}$ (provide your reasoning). For simplicity, you can assume that for any i, $w_1^T x_i, \ldots, w_C^T x_i$ are always C distinct values (so that there is no tie when taking the max over them, and consequently no non-differentiable points needed to be considered).

For each n, let $\hat{y}_i = \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in [C]} \boldsymbol{w}_y^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i$. Then by definition, F_i can be written as

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \hat{y}_i = y_i \\ \boldsymbol{w}_{\hat{y}_i}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{w}_{y_i}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Its partial derivative with respect to w_c is then

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } \hat{y}_i = y_i, \\ \boldsymbol{x}_i, & \text{else if } c = \hat{y}_i, \\ -\boldsymbol{x}_i, & \text{else if } c = y_i, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Rubrics: 2 points for each of the 4 cases. There are many other ways to write this, such as using indicator functions. It is of course also possible to combine some of these cases (such as the first and the fourth ones).

1.2 (4pts) Similarly to the binary case, multiclass perceptron is simply applying SGD with learning rate 1 to minimize the multiclass perceptron loss. Based on this information, fill in the missing details in the repeat-loop of the algorithm below (your solution cannot contain implicit quantities such as $\nabla F_i(w)$; instead, write down the exact formula based on your solution from the last question).

Algorithm 1: Multiclass Perceptron
1 Input: A training set $(\boldsymbol{x}_1, y_1), \ldots, (\boldsymbol{x}_n, y_n)$
2 Initialization: $w_1 = \cdots = w_C = 0$
3 Repeat:
4 randomly pick an example (x_i, y_i) and compute $\hat{y}_i = \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in [C]} \boldsymbol{w}_y^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i$
5 if $\hat{y}_i \neq y_i$ then
6 $w_{\hat{y}_i} \leftarrow w_{\hat{y}_i} - x_i$
7 $\boxed{ \boldsymbol{w}_{y_i} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w}_{y_i} + \boldsymbol{x}_i }$

Rubrics: 1 point for randomly picking an example, 3 points for correctly implementing the rest of SGD (again, there are many equivalent ways of doing so). Do not deduct points if the gradient is wrong solely due to mistakes from the last question.

1.3 (4pts) At this point, you should find that the parameters w_1, \ldots, w_C computed by Multiclass Perceptron are always linear combinations of the training points x_1, \ldots, x_n , that is, $w_c = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{c,i} x_i$ for some coefficients

 $\alpha_{c,i}$. Just as we saw for kernelized linear regression, this means that we can kernelize Multiclass Perceptron as well. Consider some kernel function $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ with a corresponding feature map $\phi(\cdot)$. Fill in the missing details in the repeatloop of the algorithm below that maintains and updates the coefficient $\alpha_{c,i}$ for all c and i for the the kernalized solution $w_c = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{c,i} \phi(x_i)$. To do this, try to see how the weights $\alpha_{c,i}$ should be updated such that $w_c = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{c,i} \phi(x_i)$ is always the same as what one would get by running Algorithm 1 with x_i replaced by $\phi(x_i)$ for all i.

Algorithm 2: Multiclass Perceptron with kernel function $k(\cdot, \cdot)$

```
1 Input: A training set (\boldsymbol{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\boldsymbol{x}_n, y_n)

2 Initialize: \alpha_{c,n} = 0 for all c \in [C] and i \in [n]

3 Repeat:

4 randomly pick an example (\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i) and compute \hat{y}_i = \operatorname{argmax}_{y \in [C]} (\sum_{m=1}^n \alpha_{y,m} k(\boldsymbol{x}_m, \boldsymbol{x}_i))

5 if \hat{y}_i \neq y_i then

6 \alpha_{\hat{y}_{i,i}} \leftarrow \alpha_{\hat{y}_i,i} - 1

7 \alpha_{y_i,i} \leftarrow \alpha_{y_i,i} + 1
```

Rubrics: 1 point for randomly picking an example, 3 points for correctly implementing the rest (again, there are many equivalent ways of doing so). Storing the kernel matrix to avoid repeated calculations is of course acceptable. Do not deduct points if the mistake is solely inherited from the first question. However, deduct 2 points if there are any operations involving the feature vectors other than feeding them to the kernel function (since that is one of the most important aspects of kernel methods).

Problem 2: Backpropagation for CNN (18pts)

Consider the following mini convolutional neural net, where (x_1, x_2, x_3) is the input, followed by a convolution layer with a filter (w_1, w_2) , a ReLU layer, and a fully connected layer with weight (v_1, v_2) .



More concretely, the computation is specified by

$$a_{1} = x_{1}w_{1} + x_{2}w_{2}$$

$$a_{2} = x_{2}w_{1} + x_{3}w_{2}$$

$$o_{1} = \max\{0, a_{1}\}$$

$$o_{2} = \max\{0, a_{2}\}$$

$$\hat{y} = o_{1}v_{1} + o_{2}v_{2}$$

For an example $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{-1, +1\}$, the logistic loss of the CNN is

$$\ell = \ln(1 + \exp(-y\hat{y})),$$

which is a function of the parameters of the network: w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2 .

2.1 (4pts) Write down $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial v_1}$ and $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial v_2}$ (show the intermediate steps that use chain rule). You can use the sigmoid function $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ to simplify your notation.

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial v_1} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial v_1}$$
(1 point)

$$= \frac{-ye^{-yy}}{1+e^{-y\hat{y}}}o_1 = -\sigma(-y\hat{y})yo_1 = (\sigma(y\hat{y}) - 1)yo_1$$
(1 point)

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial v_2} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial v_2}$$
(1 point)

$$= \frac{-ye^{-yy}}{1+e^{-y\hat{y}}}o_2 = -\sigma(-y\hat{y})yo_2 = (\sigma(y\hat{y}) - 1)yo_2$$
(1 point)

Either one of the last three expressions is acceptable.

2.2 (6pts) Write down $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial w_1}$ and $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial w_2}$ (show the intermediate steps that use chain rule). The derivative of the ReLU function is $H(a) = \mathbb{I}[a > 0]$, which you can use directly in your answer.

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial w_1} + \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial w_1}$$
(1 point)

$$= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial o_1} \frac{\partial o_1}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial w_1} + \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial o_2} \frac{\partial o_2}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial w_1}$$
(1 point)

$$= (\sigma(y\hat{y}) - 1)y(v_1H(a_1)x_1 + v_2H(a_2)x_2)$$
(1 point)

Similarly

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial w_2} + \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial w_2}$$
(1 point)

$$= \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial o_1} \frac{\partial o_1}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial w_2} + \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial o_2} \frac{\partial o_2}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial w_2}$$
(1 point)

$$= (\sigma(y\hat{y}) - 1)y(v_1H(a_1)x_2 + v_2H(a_2)x_3).$$
(1 point)

Again, other equivalent expressions are acceptable.

2.3 (8pts) Using the derivations above, fill in the missing details of the repeat-loop of the Backpropagation algorithm below that is used to train this mini CNN.

The above min error	
put: A training set $(\boldsymbol{x}_1, y_1), \ldots, (\boldsymbol{x}_n, y_n)$, learning rate η	
tialize: set w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2 randomly	
peat:	
randomly pick an example (\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i)	
Forward propagation: compute	(4 points)
$a_1 = x_{n1}w_1 + x_{n2}w_2, a_2 = x_{n2}w_1 + x_{n3}w_2$ $a_1 = \max\{0, a_1\}, a_2 = \max\{0, a_2\}, \hat{\mu} = a_1w_1 + a_2w_2$	
$o_1 \max\{0, w_1\}, o_2 \max\{0, w_2\}, g o_1 o_1 + o_2 o_2$	
Backward propagation: update	(4 points)
$w_{1} \leftarrow w_{1} - \eta(\sigma(y_{n}\hat{y}) - 1)y_{n}(v_{1}H(a_{1})x_{n1} + v_{2}H(a_{2})x_{n2})$ $w_{2} \leftarrow w_{2} - \eta(\sigma(y_{n}\hat{y}) - 1)y_{n}(v_{1}H(a_{1})x_{n2} + v_{2}H(a_{2})x_{n3})$ $v_{1} \leftarrow v_{1} - \eta(\sigma(y_{n}\hat{y}) - 1)y_{n}o_{1}$ $v_{2} \leftarrow v_{2} - \eta(\sigma(y_{n}\hat{y}) - 1)y_{n}o_{2}$	
p it F	ut: A training set $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, learning rate η fialize: set w_1, w_2, v_1, v_2 randomly beat: randomly pick an example (x_i, y_i) Forward propagation: compute $a_1 = x_{n1}w_1 + x_{n2}w_2, a_2 = x_{n2}w_1 + x_{n3}w_2$ $o_1 = \max\{0, a_1\}, o_2 = \max\{0, a_2\}, \hat{y} = o_1v_1 + o_2v_2$ Backward propagation: update $w_1 \leftarrow w_1 - \eta(\sigma(y_n\hat{y}) - 1)y_n(v_1H(a_1)x_{n1} + v_2H(a_2)x_{n2})$ $w_2 \leftarrow w_2 - \eta(\sigma(y_n\hat{y}) - 1)y_n(v_1H(a_1)x_{n2} + v_2H(a_2)x_{n3})$ $v_1 \leftarrow v_1 - \eta(\sigma(y_n\hat{y}) - 1)y_no_1$ $v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - \eta(\sigma(y_n\hat{y}) - 1)y_no_1$ $v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - \eta(\sigma(y_n\hat{y}) - 1)y_no_2$

- Deduct 1 point for writing x_1, x_2, x_3 instead of x_{n1}, x_{n2}, x_{n3} in the forward propgagation.
- Deduct 1 point for writing x_1, x_2, x_3, y instead of $x_{n1}, x_{n2}, x_{n3}, y_n$ in the backward propgagation.
- Deduct 2 points if updating w_1/w_2 with the updated value of v_1/v_2 .
- Do not deduct points for using the wrong gradients solely due to mistakes from previous two questions.

Programming-based Questions

As in previous homeworks, you need to have your coding environment setup for this part. We use python3 (version \geq 3.7) in our programming-based questions. There are multiple ways you can install python3, for example:

- You can use conda to configure a python3 environment for all programming assignments.
- Alternatively, you can also use virtualenv to configure a python3 environment for all programming assignments

After you have a python3 environment, you will need to install the following python packages:

- numpy
- tqdm (a new package used in this HW, please install it to monitor training progress)
- matplotlib (for plotting figures)

Note: You are **not allowed** to use other packages such as *tensorflow, pytorch, keras, scikit-learn, scipy*, etc. for 3.1-3.2. If you have other package requests, please ask first before using them. You are **allowed** to use any packages for 3.3-3.5.

Download the files for the programming part from https://vatsalsharan.github.io/fall22/hw3.zip.

Problem 3: Fashion MNIST (50pts + 15pts bonus)

We will use a subset of the Fashion MNIST dataset (https://github.com/zalandoresearch/fashion-mnist) dataset in this part. The entire dataset contains 70,000 grayscale images in 10 categories. In this HW, we will use 10,000 examples for training, 2,000 examples for validation and 10,000 examples for testing. The datapoints in the Fashion MNIST dataset are images of individual articles of clothing at low resolution (28 by 28 pixels), as seen in Fig. 1:



Figure 1: Fashion-MNIST samples¹

Label	Class
0	T-shirt/top
1	Trouser
2	Pullover
3	Dress
4	Coat
5	Sandal
6	Shirt
7	Sneaker
8	Bag
9	Ankle boot

Figure 2: Fashion MNIST class name

Fashion MNIST is intended as a drop-in replacement for the classic MNIST dataset. MNIST is often regarded as the "Hello, World" of machine learning algorithms for computer vision. The MNIST dataset contains images of handwritten digits (0, 1, 2, etc.), and is similar in format to the Fashion MNIST dataset. This problem uses Fashion MNIST instead of MNIST to add some variety (and fashion), and also because it's slightly more challenging than regular MNIST. Both datasets are relatively small and are often used to verify that an algorithm works as expected. They're good starting points to test and debug code, and to explore ideas.

¹Figure from https://medium.com/@ipylypenko/exploring-neural-networks-with-fashion-mnistb0a8214b7b7b

The images from Fashion MNIST are stored as 28x28 arrays, with pixel values ranging from 0 to 255. The labels are an array of integers, ranging from 0 to 9. The labels correspond to the item of clothing the image represents, as in Fig. 2. Each image is mapped to a single label.

Part I - Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) (38pts)

General instructions

- In this part you will implement neural nets. We provide the bootstrap code and you are expected to complete the functions.
- Do not import libraries other than those already imported in the original code.

- 1

- Please follow the data type annotations. You have to make the function's return values match the required type.
- Only make modifications in {neural_networks.py}.
- For parts where you will be running the code, we include the expected running time on Google Colab notebook in brackets (such as [5min]). If the running time of your code is much larger, it is likely that it has a bug. Your runtime maybe much faster though (indeed, the code ran faster on our laptops than on Colab).

3.1 Neural nets (24pts) In this task, you are asked to implement neural networks! You will later use this neural network to classify Fashion MNIST images into articles of clothing (0-9). The architecture of the neural network you will implement is based on the multi-layer perceptron (also known as MLP, just another term for fully connected feedforward networks we discussed in class). It is designed for a K-class classification problem. Let (x, y) where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$ be a labeled instance. A MLP predicts the label by carrying out the following computations:

input features
$$: \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

linear⁽¹⁾ $: \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}^{(1)}$, $\boldsymbol{W}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times d}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^M$
relu $: \boldsymbol{h} = \max\{0, \boldsymbol{u}\} = \begin{bmatrix} \max\{0, u_1\} \\ \vdots \\ \max\{0, u_M\} \end{bmatrix}$
linear⁽²⁾ $: \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)}\boldsymbol{h} + \boldsymbol{b}^{(2)}$
softmax $: \boldsymbol{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{a_1}}{\sum_k e^{a_k}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{e^{a_K}}{\sum_k e^{a_k}} \end{bmatrix}$
predicted label $: \hat{y} = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} z_k$

You might find it helpful to first go through what the following parts are asking, and then read through the main function to see how we will be using the functions you will write. Note that to better utilize the validation set, earlystopping is provided in the starter code. Early-stopping has a hyperparameter which we call Patience. If the patience parameter is set to k epochs, then training will terminate if there is no improvement in the validation accuracy for kepochs in a row. An epoch is just one pass through the training set.

3.1.1 Linear layer (6pts) First, you need to implement the linear layer of the MLP by implementing three python functions in class linear_layer. This layer has two parameters W and b.

- In the function def __init__(self, input_D, output_D), you need to randomly initialize the entries of W and b with mean 0 and standard deviation 0.1 using np.random.normal. You also need to initialize the gradients to zeroes in the same function.
- In def forward (self, X), implement the forward pass of this layer. Note that the input X contains several examples, each of which needs to be passed through this layer. Try to use matrix operation instead of for loops to speed up your code. (As you might have realized with previous homeworks, matrix operations are much faster than for loops in Python. Please read this nice guide on vectorized operations to learn more: https://www.askpython.com/python-modules/numpy/vectorization-numpy).

• In def backward(self, X, grad), implement the backward pass of this layer. Here, grad is the gradient with respect to the output of this layer, and you need to find and store the gradients with respect to W and b, and also find and return the gradients with respect to the input X of this layer.

3.1.2 ReLU (4pts) Next, you need to implement the ReLU activation by implementing 2 python functions in class relu. There are no parameters to be learned in this module.

- In def forward (self, X), implement the forward pass of ReLU activation.
- In def backward(self, X, grad), implement the backward pass of ReLU activation. Here, X is the gradient with respect to the output of this layer, and you need to return the gradient with respect to the input X.

3.1.3 Mini-batch stochastic gradient descent (2pts) Next, implement mini-batch version of stochastic gradient descent to learn the parameters of the neural network. Recall that for a general optimization problem with a parameter w, SGD iteratively computes $w_t = w_{t-1} - \eta \nabla F(w)$, where η is the step size, and $\nabla F(w)$ is the stochastic gradient (at $w_{t-1} w.r.t$ loss function $F(\cdot)$). You need to complete def miniBatchGradientDescent (model, _learning_rate), where we update the parameters of each layer. Note that this function is executed after the backward pass of each layer has been called and the gradients have been stored properly.

3.1.4 Backpropagation (4pts) Your network is almost ready to be trained. The only function you need to implement in this part is backward_pass, which calls the backward pass of each layer in the correct order and with the correct inputs.

3.1.5 Gradient checker (4pts) As you probably realized as you wrote the code for the previous parts, backpropagation is a subtle algorithm and it is easy to make mistakes. In this part, you will implement gradient checking to give you confidence that you are calculating the gradients of your model correctly. The idea behind gradient checking is as follows. As mentioned in the lecture, one naive way to approximate gradient is by

$$\frac{dF(w)}{dw} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{F(w+\epsilon) - F(w-\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$$

We can use this relation to check that we are computing gradients correctly using backpropagation. For example, to check the gradient of the (0,0) entry of $W^{(1)}$, we apply a small $\epsilon = 1e-3$ perturbation to the (0,0) entry of $W^{(1)}$. Then, we compare the approximate gradient of the objective function with respect to the (0,0) entry of $W^{(1)}$ computed by the above equation, to the value obtained by backpropagation. These two values should be close to each other if backpropagation is implemented correctly. Please implement the gradient_checker function to do this. Note that to enable this function at runtime, you should turn on the check_gradient flag when you run the code later.

Apart from the gradient checker, we also provide a magnitude_checker function to help you debug the code. The gradient on any mini-batch provides an unbiased estimate of the true gradient, therefore we expect the gradient on a batch size of 50 to be similar in magnitude to the gradient on a batch size of 5,000. The magnitude_checker function (which is provided to you) checks that the ℓ_1 -norm of $W^{(1)}$ is of the same magnitude on these two batch sizes.

3.1.6 Screenshots and plots (4pts) [40sec] After finishing all five modules above, run the below command to get a result file MLP_lr0.01_b5.json. Take a screenshot of your output log, like in screenshot_run_b5.png (included in the zip file). (2pts) Plot the training accuracy *vs.* epochs using plot_train_process.py. (2pts) For the magnitude check, you should expect the two numbers are of the same magnitude (however, there can be small differences). For the four gradient checks, you should expect the number from the backpropagation to be the same as that from the approximation. For training accuracy, the curve should grow as the number of epochs increases. Some fluctuation in the middle is acceptable.

python neural_networks.py --minibatch_size 5 --check_gradient --check_magnitude

Screen shot: Fig. 3.

Training data size: 10000, Validation data size: 2000, Test data size: 10000
Check the magnitude (L1-norm of layer L1) of gradient with batch size 50: 148.290031 and with batch size 5k: 117.085383
Check the gradient of W in the L1 layer from backpropagation: 0.000000 and from approximation: 0.000000
Check the gradient of b in the L1 layer from backpropagation: 0.129579 and from approximation: 0.129579
Check the gradient of W in the L2 layer from backpropagation: 0.004011 and from approximation: 0.004011
Check the gradient of b in the L2 layer from backpropagation: 0.121975 and from approximation: 0.121975
At epoch 1
100%
Training loss at epoch 1 is 6.05685388211968
Training accuracy at epoch 1 is 0.7893
Validation accuracy at epoch 1 is 0.7765
At epoch 2
190%
Training loss at epoch 2 is 5.173094948088359
Training accuracy at epoch 2 is 0.8187
Validation accuracy at enoch 2 is A 2015

Figure 3: Screenshot of running with the command.

Training accuracy: Fig. 4.



Figure 4: Training accuracy with the command.

Full score (24 pts) if the screenshot and the plot are roughly correct. Otherwise discuss by cases.

3.2 Batch size (14pts) [6min] We now explore the effect of different batch size on training. Run the command from the previous part with 5 different batch sizes: 1, 5, 50, 500, 5000.

3.2.1 Accuracy and time (4pts) Plot testing accuracy and training time w.r.t. batch size using plot_batch.py.

2 pts for each image.

3.2.2 Epochs and gradient updates (4pts) What is the number of training epochs required to get the best model for each batch size? How many gradient updates are required get the best model for each batch size? (We define a gradient update to be a single stochastic gradient descent step, where the gradient may have been computed over some mini-batch).

2 pts - 13, 10, 43, 39, 158 training epochs; 2 pts - 130000, 20000, 8600, 780, 316 gradient updates, to get the best model for batch size 1, 5, 50, 500, 5000, respectively (160000, 26000, 9200, 840, 322 gradient updates are also acceptable for the second part).

3.2.3 Analysis (6pts) Answer the following question based on the previous plots and results:



(i). Does smaller batch size guarantee faster training? Why do you think this is the case?

(ii). Does larger batch size imply higher test accuracy? Why do you think this is the case?

(iii). Does larger batch size imply less gradient updates to converge? Why do you think this is the case?

(i). No, **more gradient updates** are needed to converge, which takes time. Also, because of the way computer memory is organized, it is often as **efficient to take the gradient with respect to a few samples** as it is to take the gradient with respect to a single sample.

(ii). No, the stochasticity in smaller batch sizes helps with generalization. See https://wandb.ai/ ayush-thakur/dl-question-bank/reports/What-s-the-Optimal-Batch-Size-to-Train-a-Neural-Network---VmlldzoyMDkyNDU and https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/164876/ what-is-the-trade-off-between-batch-size-and-number-of-iterations-to-train-a-neu. (iii) Yes all are unbiased estimates of the gradient but larger batch size implies gradues.

(iii). Yes, all are **unbiased estimates** of the gradient but larger batch size implies **smaller variance**.

each are 2 pts, key words marked in bold.

Part II - Explore on Colab (12pts)

The two-layer MLP from the previous part is our base model, and in the rest of this HW we will explore some variations on it. We will do this on a Colab notebook fashion_mnist.ipynb. To run the notebook, upload the fashion_mnist.ipynb to your USC Google Drive. Then, add Google Colab to your Google App by New \rightarrow More \rightarrow Connect more apps \rightarrow Type in Google Colab and install it, as in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. After that, you can run the notebook with your browser. The notebook is based on *TensorFlow*, a popular library for neural networks. TensorFlow enables us to build and train neural networks with a few simple lines of code. We recommend that you go through the TensorFlow code we provide and understand what's happening, but for the purpose of this homework you will mainly have to run the code and understand the results.



Figure 7: Colab install 1

Figure 8: Colab install 2

3.3 The effect of early-stopping (6pts) [3.5min] We provided built-in early-stopping in the starter code, but did not explore the effect that it has on training. In this question, we will explore the effect of early-stopping. To do this, we evaluate the training, validation and test accuracy for each training epoch. We then plot the training, validation and test accuracy throughout the training process to see how early-stopping works. We train 30 epochs on a batch size of 5 without early-stopping.

- (i). Plot the training accuracy vs. the number of epochs. On the same graph, plot the validation and test accuracy vs. the number of epochs. Also, mark the point on the validation accuracy curve where we previously early-stopped. (We provide the plotting code, you only need to run it). (2pts)
- (ii). What is the trend of training and test accuracy after the early-stopped point? (2pts)
- (iii). Based on the plot, what do you think could go wrong if the patience parameter for early-stopping is too small? (Recall that if the patience parameter is set to k epochs, then training will terminate if there is no improvement in the validation accuracy for k epochs in a row.) (2pts)
 - (i). Train-val-test plot: Fig. 9
 - (ii). Training curve goes up, but validation and test curve fluctuate.
 - (iii). Stop at suboptimal points due to small fluctuations.

3.4 SGD with momentum (6pts) [14min] We mentioned in class that adding a "momentum" term, which encourages the model to continue along the previous gradient direction helps the network to converge. Concretely, with an initial velocity v = 0, we update the gradient by

$$\boldsymbol{v} \leftarrow \alpha \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla F(\boldsymbol{w})$$
$$\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} - \eta \boldsymbol{v}$$



Figure 9: Train-val-test accuracy for 30 epochs

where η is the learning rate and α is the factor describing how much weight we put on the previous gradients. $\alpha = 0$ is equivalent to gradient update without momentum.

We provide the sgd_with_momentum function with argument α . In this question, we will explore how the momentum factor α effects training loss and test accuracy.

- (i). Run the code to call the function sgd_with_momentum with $\alpha = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$. We store the training loss and test accuracy returned by the function. We visualize the training loss by plotting 5 curves for the 5 different values of α on the same graph. Each curve has the epoch on the *x*-axis and the training loss on the *y*-axis. (4pts)
- (ii). Based on this, what is a suitable value of α ? Therefore, how should training ideally rely on previous gradients for better convergence? (2pts)
 - (i). SGD momentum curve: Fig. 10



Figure 10: SGD momentum with different α

(ii). 0.5 or 0.7, both are good. Relying on previous gradients helps convergence, but too much is harmful.

Part III (Bonus question) - Exploring Out-of-Distribution (OOD) generalization on Colab (15pts) [15min]

This question is optional. You will get bonus points for finishing this.

A major research direction at present is to ensure that our ML models not only do well on test data drawn from the same distribution as training data, but that they also do well when they get data from distributions different from the original distribution. This is known as Out-of-Distribution (OOD) generalization. In the Fashion MNIST dataset, the training and test set are drawn from the same distribution. Let's explore how our models do on test data coming from a slightly different distribution.

3.5 Translation and rotation (15pts) We modify the original test datapoints, by moving up the images of the test set by 4 pixels. By doing this, we create a new translated test set. Run the code to see the original and modified images. You will notice that to a human eye, the new test set is not any more difficult than the original test set.

- (i). But can our MLP model still do well on the new test set? What's the test accuracy on the two-layer MLP? (1pt)
- (ii). What if we try a different model architecture? For example, in class we saw that convolutional neural networks are good at dealing with image translation. We replace the first linear layer with a convolutional layer of 64 kernels with size 7 × 7, followed by max-pooling. This can be done with just one or two lines of code in TensorFlow. Calculate the number of parameters of the CNN model and the original 2-layer MLP model (show your calculation), and verify that the CNN has fewer parameters than the MLP model. (3pts)
- (iii). Train the 2-layer CNN on the original training data and test it on both the original and the translated test sets. What is the in-domain test accuracy and the translated test accuracy of the CNN model? Can you provide some intuition behind these numbers? (2pts) [6min]
- (iv). Going one step further, we can make the CNN deeper by adding one more convolutional layer of 64×128 (64 input channels and 128 output channels) kernels with size 2×2 between the two existing layers, followed by max-pooling. Verify that the deeper 3-layer CNN model has fewer parameters than the 2-layer CNN model (show your calculation). (3pts)
- (v). What is the in-domain and the translated test accuracy of the deeper 3-layer CNN model? Provide some intuition on why it is better than the 2-layer CNN on the translated set. (3pts) [9min] You will notice that the deeper model takes some time to train. If we trained on GPUs instead of CPUs, training would be much faster. This is because GPUs are much more efficient at matrix computations, which is exactly what neural network training demands.

Next, we create 3 more OOD test sets by rotation. We rotate the images in the original test set by 90, 180 and 270 degrees.

(vi). Provide the test accuracy of the 2-layer MLP model, the 2-layer CNN model and the 3-layer CNN model on the three rotation test sets. Are the 2-layer CNN and the 3-layer CNN still doing well? (3pts)

(ii). 2-layer MLP: $128 \times 784 + 128 + 128 \times 10 + 10 = 101770$. 2-layer CNN: $64 \times 7 \times 7 + 64 + 7744 \times 10 + 10 = 80650$ (iii). 87.9, 54.7. CNN **captures spatial feature** better than MLP. Notice the input to MLP is one vector of size 784 for each example, and the input to CNN is one image of size 28×28 for each example.

(iv). 3-layer CNN: $64 \times 49 + 64 + 64 \times 128 \times 2 \times 2 + 128 + 10 \times 3200 + 10 = 68106$.

(v). 88.0, 57.0. Becomes more **translation invariant** with another convolutional and max-pooling layer (or is able to **capture farther feature** through translation by another convolution and max-pooling; similar answers should be correct.)

(vi). 2-layer MLP: 1.9, 19.7, 5.6; 2-layer CNN: 5.6, 20.6, 5.3; 3-layer CNN: 5.4, 5.6, 5.6.

Note: Tensorflow version 2.9.2 has slightly different numbers for model accuracy. Results within a reasonable range (e.g., ± 1) are acceptable.

⁽i). No, 47.6

Deliverables for Problem 3: Code for 3.1 as a separate Python file neural_networks.py. Screenshot for 3.1. Plots for part 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4. Number of epochs and gradient updates for part 3.2. Analysis and explanation for parts 3.2, 3.3, 3.4. For the optional bonus question 3.5, submit the test accuracy on in-domain and OOD test sets of the three models, the number of parameters of the three models, and the explanations we ask for.

Problem 4: Bonus Question on Transformers (10pts)

The Transformer architecture is important for ML because it revolutionized NLP by introducing the self-attention mechanism, enabling effective modeling of long-range dependencies in sequential data. This led to state-of-theart performance in various NLP tasks such as machine translation, text generation, and sentiment analysis. In this problem, you will run a tiny decoder-only Transformer architecture and gain insight into its basic structure. We will do this using a Colab notebook, transformer.ipynb. To run the notebook, upload the transformer.ipynb to your USC Google Drive and follow the instructions in Problem 3 Part II. The notebook is based on PyTorch, a popular library for neural networks. Similar to TensorFlow, PyTorch enables us to build and train neural networks with just a few simple lines of code.

The main purpose of this assignment is to familiarize you with the PyTorch framework and the Transformer architecture. To do this, you will train a small decoder-only Transformer model with the tiny Shakespeare dataset and observe the effects of some hyperparameters.



Figure 11: Decoder-only transformer architecture

Figure 12: Feed Forward Module of Transformer

Transformer Structure First, you are expected to understand the code of the transformer which composed of multiple components. This section is not graded.

- (a) Attention Layer: We divide theattention layer into two main components: Head, and MultiHeadAttention. Inside Head module, the attention mechanism is implemented. We provide you the implemented version, however you are highly encouraged to understand the code. MultiHeadAttention module is responsible for combining the results of Heads. It first gets all results from Heads, then concatenates them. Finally, this is followed by a linear layer and dropout regularization.
- (b) Feed Forward (FF) Module: FF module in our network contains 2 linear layerswith the ReLU activation function applied in between. After the second linear layer, dropout regularization is applied. FF module first increases the embedding dimension 4 times via the first linear layer. Then decreases it to its original embedding dimension via the second linear layer. You can see the diagram in Figure 12.
- (c) Transformer Block: A block contains 4 components (See also Figure 11, grey shaded block): Self-Attention module, Feed Forward module and 2 Layer-Normalization modules.

(d) Model: BigramLanguageModel module brings everything together and creates the whole transformer architecture (Figure 11). We also calculate the loss inside this function. We also provide the implementation of the generate function.

4.1 Training the Model (10pts) Now, we will train our model. In this section, you are not expected to implement anything.

(i). Before training the model, make it generate some sequence. Then, you will train the model with tiny Shakespeare dataset. We provided you the initial hyper-parameters. With Colab, the training session should take around 10 minutes. After training the model, make it generate some sequence again. Compare it with the first generation. Also, compare the generation with training data. Do they look similar? Explain the reason why the generation is not like a Wikipedia article, for example. (3pts)

Before any training has taken place, the model's generation looks like random. It doesn't resemble Shakespearean text because it hasn't learned anything from the dataset yet. There no meaningful words (Fig. 13).

However, after training on the tiny Shakespeare dataset, the model starts generating text that resembles Shakespearean language and style (Fig. 14). The text generated after training presents patterns, vocabulary, and structure similar to those in the training data. However, since the dataset is small and the model is simple, the generated text is not as sophisticated or accurate as a larger, more complex model trained on a larger corpus.

Lastly, the reason the generation doesn't resemble a Wikipedia article is primarily because the model is specifically trained on Shakespearean text. It learns the patterns and styles present in that particular dataset, which is very distinct from language and style of Wikipedia articles.

Rubrics: 1 point for discussing befor training generation (just stating something like text is random is fine). 1 point for discussion on after training generation (stating that it is similar to training data). 1 point for discussion about comparison with Wikipedia article.

lRHoOSbJDkfnq0sG7ySVlLNAA'jW3I-uNVbqwsjAbjV0AAIeHkhqUN3qUUsHw-&DbFch:t..JPAWv D33xiyDecfNCKoLj7rcX7cTUNUKuneG-UUo&pDthqGiCDuUpn70 pD2x'p&pRczecCKA2qsmR'xUHXyng&SoncJuELncX; _CEW*-?bG-AtPZp;bCioC yCm\$yGAicrq3xz:d3iQeV&NH.qlS'HDetj-LR;;!k-pbIo\$Vczfd!Dw!\$XeNNCo-cADCQ-jH.Jgwz

ĹU

LU iawJesdMB01dGedMp 31bC\$foTJno1qMDFZjUyruCOoeA1zlneVcPpXhggH3gGIovd;VDXz?UJTRk znFDvJJMyEBY6cXHN?K'jwd'...3idAbkwpDRzokOPEgJ?Pk.e-NqpwgzphDwKcPqsg0io6phNGe, gCiz3sc;m300jj zl1qczHpLmYwFPoA;Fcn3Eo XaL.wqcXCeDC!sd0yr,WV W,CiZqb;fXyoInSQceZXCaDqhR?cKocz g3;310; ! ZAGHdwVegp-H3w obAXRaGjI;k,!IhCJXFIok,o! wo3H?.Zt-iHsvTPNGubJc YUWSWcdMhTI'eE;DkFZXU.r+KuNKrEVbzq.oOtJLEs!QiL;3-!.pb,:ecfFp!RJFndf00wJ;KcJ EYqFvSUTL jzhbhbA,ngPZ.f\$AKBB JNFv-C-pWDYNRBhBKo:-FLep-vGTc2hGsUhFkomp;cicjioAggMzwVxpD-jdaNiukCKDWUphLyVrL MXnD1.?vcpz'?dp Vg1-RcJ,B-obh:fDNcpEOVKEE6sk,MY,oMXGVhgffprnWeXcowmPJ,yU.-Zn AUhZJyhcHsngoeIppn&,gmSWikXQegheG'TsX-kyJHs3!,IVH&lfN.HVMHr0xddwicgwbv&UuNck :c;kDcOPNxV3xXGmF

Figure 13: Generation before training

GREO: Thy take obbet, for for think. I not with you cobty hite. GHERRMIO: Broy: Good cus, like prof; not Roy love off your butter Me I have me tobjust shee. SICINIUS: I cannot requees. MENENO: Dear like and need; piedies. ELVOLYCUMNIUS: ELVOLYCUMNIUS: I, degs not love fend, but thyer, you would for won A seour morterame patray foll soul aft; And such their Than slue you: but butked, My idself to your not vime the Leaver of that to my brother goghted Poy, And you read of to markn-beforce flowwe off villous, you, my lover's hon thyself ten; and is thurkens' in ef herphery, And compoldies finom. Pomfutient. Myself.

Figure 14: Generation after training

(ii). Provide the loss curve for train and validation during training. (1pt)

See Fig. 15.

Rubrics: 1 point for providing the correct plot. 0 points if plot is wrong or not provided.

(iii). For different values of block-size (sequence-length/context-length) [2, 16, 64], train the model from scratch. Provide the train-validation loss curves and model's generations for different sequence-lengths. Discuss how the sequence-length changes the generation of the model. (3pts)

As the sequence length gets longer, we see that generation quality increases (Figures 16, 17, 18). Especially for sequence length 2, the overall look of the generation might look similar to training data, however, when we check individual words, we can see that most of them still non-sense. On the other hand, as the sequence length increases, we start to see correct English words.

Extra: However, the downside of increasing the context length is it's cost. The computation and memory cost of attention layer is $O(n^2)$ where n is the sequence length.

Rubrics: 1 point for the plots, 1 point for the generation screenshots, 1 point for the discussion

(iv). For different number of heads [2, 4, 8], train the model from scratch. Provide the train-validation loss curves and model's generations. Discuss how the number of heads changes the train-validation loss curves. (3pts)There does not seem much difference (see Figures 19, 20, 21).

Rubrics: 1 point for the plots, 1 point for the generation screenshots, 1 point for the discussion



Figure 15: Loss vs Epoch for train and validation

Now th dey ss. LORNES:	YORK: The vengeroam stress	ESCALIhord. Whose have give remiity of daint imposity; Or Citize him Jepulier the overionur.
Yould shin mopeike of ce Her padled, mujun bucke vea RIESSENCY Yecolethemo shin? yould com, I'ltuightur fepen ER: Caliess I'll VILEARD'd the-s: To, youl: Your, Rolgeavencet,	Sound i propret my now meteral is to the proved speak You morrant it weres a king think of be nowle, Lait you offerds fruid his nabmend linders band it you: Cant: In I suundours god!	AUpethel Cifminion: You secue you, dot to armser was admans, Give majey, fall it enture other seak gent kit; I erace ace, bestirignly at that ollock nobly hap at thy love Forn live the most banishyour more, mattle on;
Yencoke plewive me ssu, you, prof red tanscoua. LIUSdave nul sts. of de nowge And mades ell yould,.	Seconds, I anders Wonded wenderoud, emen will lose: Thank his had procted;	A as her impot have bear of live; for that preasoni, And stromes upon!' Eare which:'t it the ais. Os do Give Jaces to me of ans Are would dispathath in thest be of mothers.
Ge inog?	Why! I am a doness, presens: Why, flomer ald noble. Bough knitnemings wasts The norses; norrene,	With blast i' moth for the longune inratorious quial made commerracric this sid?
en, Whouliesuilen goou ithetand ve you tof coule thARUMIENER: Aowevereare, a usqorleld grover vhies.	Pale empnenete, and I forth, neace: This premertagues award repoter fightredied truick which o' an Is band hand' the Busse! For fenders my besul I deter.	QESNIS: For lord? for with The to me, cloanture Whicose oan put likelatious hised for to head haste And divitt Lord me pigon.
Shind of I wre liainhee the forcord! LIO: Ownd IUCENCESIA: LAD: Enss me at now YUCIUS:	LEORY VOLAUMERLIZEDWIZENTIO: God whent owit my laremy ponting, You will feing thougt, thou preselvought	GLOUCESTER: I cance, know So. O that she murchram

Figure 16: Generation with context Figure 17: Generation with context Figure 18: Generation with contextlen=2len=16len=64

SABELLA: O would fir, af alumbing notion plaberrewes. D would fir, af alumbing notion plaberrewes. HERW Must his denator: Away both thither: Succession of your with protoch me of your. Succession of your with protoch me of your. Succession of your with protoch me of your.	LADY VIRGIO: Your scames; vill, make and Thereint, yearle trunk. Cietaint more not wonderwice the Levalrace: not to be brows were his troy, now you read. First How-beforge fullwe off your love? Aum fall 'all; I have no moes; and is time coss wiles here'er braw too soldied firow. Pomfoubranky your down your sconds lets? HASTINGS: His you's gafend was teetels can xpreved when, you was falless; DUKE VINCENTIO: If my lord Not, but you there find why, I'll man croken Be with a waring lates, bear resding is not take forget Concle; He beashink you rust stand forgiven to do drunk, forgare witton	<pre>MERLAND: Y, I hort! him right'd a stand forge. MARCALUS: I you time cometor! SURRIO: I know slitle afgo-upon fail The plabet I south slle to have I misk griouf, should? why sleep thou them hold; and and macking 'Two marratther mon losy the Come, To the evally to me! I shan is sare. BRUTUS: I will my fleardly podosin mes be, Which 'till this, fer I am from thoughtent; with is mourn? GLOUCESTEN: We'll thee-air very follown, as her's lose, If come be her, alcodNowed Rome!</pre>	LANT: Wedsi but the but tyy father cometode Upatt: you, would fill afterumain Only trow, be reessive sile more hast As previolus should? will be yet seethem holty: Marry to caid brow inswees! Throoou do the sway your unfereth, Have way you clease sweepish, resisnes foress me. First My commond: Hows do, good but looks, Sayer I am from thou vicnountrue ompery, a Lettomes to town. How am, mercy too the hath'd unlost, If come be her, and you cled Rigety. Firn, God of your for doot foltewer my least: And the he leady I shung. Bestakes; 'tis me see but seful, in never a must:. Thither fierd.
the were of you wath procesh me Of Very,	SABELLA: O would fir, af alumbing notion plaberrewes.	SICINIUS: My prightouter to too, swromenies her, then, The were of you with prokesh me of very,	HERW Must his denator: Away both thither: how trupbing a sweets, Stue these appeast been me thou rajughting of this beart an pa

Figure 19: Generation with num of Figure 20: Generation with num of Figure 21: Generation with num of heads=2 heads=4 heads=8

Deliverables for Problem 4: In general you should include all explanations we asked for in the question. For 4.1 (i), discussion on generations and screenshots of the generations. Plots for 4.1 (ii), (iii) (iv). Discussion and analysis for 4.1 (iii) and (iv). Screenshots of the generations for 4.1 (iii).